Practical Machine Learning for Organic Small Molecule Modeling

Machine Learning Modalities for Materials Science Workshop



16 May 2024

Emma King-Smith



























Transfer Learning to Unlock Chemical Predictions in Low Data Regimes



Part I:



































simple

12







simple

easy to implement



can be used with any dataset





simple

easy to implement



















more challenging

16

Dataset 1 (Information on desired system)





Dataset 1 (Information on desired system)





Dataset 2 (Information on tangential system)

Dataset 1 (Information on desired system)





Insufficient Accuracy

Dataset 2 (Information on tangential system)

Dataset 1 (Information on desired system)





Insufficient Accuracy



















Improved Accuracy



The Minisci Reaction



heterocycle





functionalized heterocycle



The Minisci Reaction



heterocycle





functionalized heterocycle



The Minisci Reaction







Regioselectivity Factors of the Minisci Reaction



heterocycle

Electronics, sterics, and longevity of •R'

J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, *135*, 12122.



functionalized heterocycle

Regioselectivity Factors of the Minisci Reaction



heterocycle

Electronics, sterics, and longevity of •R'

Electronics of heterocycle

J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, *135*, 12122.



functionalized heterocycle



DFT-derived Fukui reactivity indices are ~90% accurate.

RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 17262. ChemMedChem 2018, 13, 983.





DFT-derived Fukui reactivity indices are ~90% accurate.



RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 17262. ChemMedChem 2018, 13, 983.





DFT-derived Fukui reactivity indices are ~90% accurate.



RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 17262. ChemMedChem 2018, 13, 983.



Possible **Reaction Sites**



heterocycle

DFT-derived Fukui reactivity indices are ~90% accurate.



RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 17262. ChemMedChem 2018, 13, 983.



functionalized heterocycle



DFT-derived Fukui reactivity indices are ~90% accurate.



Can machine learning provide some improvement?

RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 17262. ChemMedChem 2018, 13, 983.



The Big Idea



small molecule



The Big Idea





Message Passing Neural Network (MPNN)

The Big Idea



embedded molecule



Message Passing Neural Network (MPNN)
The Big Idea



J Chemoinformatics **2020**, *12*, 1. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 2021, 61, 2594. *ChemRxiv* **2022**, DOI: 10.26343/chemrxiv-2022-gkxm6-v2 37 *J Chemoinformatics* **2020**, *12*, 15. *Chem. Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 2198.



The Big Idea



ChemRxiv **2022**, DOI: 10.26343/chemrxiv-2022-gkxm6-v2 *J Chemoinformatics* **2020**, *12*, 1.

J Chemoinformatics **2020**, *12*, 15. *Chem. Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 2198.



2, 1. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **2021**, *61*, 2594. 38

The Big Idea



ChemRxiv **2022**, DOI: 10.26343/chemrxiv-2022-gkxm6-v2 *J Chemoinformatics* **2020**, *12*, 1.

J Chemoinformatics **2020**, *12*, 15. *Chem. Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 2198.



2, 1. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **2021**, *61*, 2594.

Baselines



Nat. Commun. 2024, 15, 426.



Model Accuracy (F-Score)

Baselines



Nat. Commun. 2024, 15, 426.



Model Accuracy (F-Score)

A Good Start



Nat. Commun. 2024, 15, 426.



Model Accuracy (F-Score)

A Modest Improvement



Nat. Commun. 2024, 15, 426.



Model Accuracy (F-Score)

A Modest Improvement



Nat. Commun. 2024, 15, 426.



Model Accuracy (F-Score)

Model

44

Significant Improvement!





Nat. Commun. 2024, 15, 426.



Model Accuracy (F-Score)

n Forest	Random F

Significant Improvement!





Nat. Commun. 2024, 15, 426.



Model Accuracy (F-Score)



Inclusion of Fukui Indices as Atom Information



Nat. Commun. 2024, 15, 426.



Model Accuracy (F-Score)





small

How do we do in a real-life scenario?

Nat. Commun. 2024, 15, 426.







large



Nat. Commun. 2024, 15, 426.



Test Set Model Comparisons



Nat. Commun. 2024, 15, 426.



= New Molecules

Test Set Model Comparisons



A Different Reaction Altogether



molecule





functionalized molecule



A Different Reaction Altogether





A Different Reaction Altogether



Biochemistry **2018**, *57*, 403.





Nat. Commun. 2024, 15, 426.



= New Molecules

Test Set Model Comparisons



How Do We Perform On a P450-Only Test Set?



Accuracy (F-Score)

Nat. Commun. 2024, 15, 426.



= Old Test Set

= New Molecules

= P450-only Reactions

Test Set Model Comparisons



Comparison To Other Reactivity-Based Models



Chem. Sci. 2021, 12, 2198. J Cheminform. 2022, 14, 46.



Model Top-1 Accuracy

= Best Model

Comparison To Other Reactivity-Based Models



Chem. Sci. 2021, 12, 2198. J Cheminform. 2022, 14, 46.



Model Top-1 Accuracy

= Best Model

Comparison To Other Reactivity-Based Models



Chem. Sci. 2021, 12, 2198. J Cheminform. 2022, 14, 46.



Model Top-1 Accuracy

= Best Model



~27,000 spectra

J. Chem. Inf. Comput. 2003, 43, 1733.

Acta Crystallogr. B: Struct. Sci. Cryst. Eng. Mater. 1979, 35, 2331.





1,000,000+ compounds



small molecule crystal structure

Chem. Sci. **2024**, *15*, 5143.





small molecule crystal structure

Chem. Sci. **2024**, *15*, 5143.







small molecule crystal structure

Chem. Sci. **2024**, *15*, 5143.



acute toxicity





Chem. Sci. **2024**, *15*, 5143.



olfactive classifcation



	Suzuki Yield Error (MAE)		
Model	Unseen Boronic Acids	Unseen Aryl Halides	
Random Forest			
Adaboost			
Yield-BERT			
GraphRXN			
Crystal-Yield			

*Increased Crystal-Yield's size to half of GraphRXN's parameters

Chem. Sci. **2024**, *15*, 5143.



Unseen	Unseen		
Boronic	Aryl	Unseen	Unseen
Acids	Halides	Ligands	Additives

	Suzuki Yield Error (MAE)		
Model	Unseen Boronic Acids	Unseen Aryl Halides	
Random Forest			
Adaboost			
Yield-BERT			
GraphRXN			
Crystal-Yield	18.4 ± 0.3	18.5 ± 0.2	

*Increased Crystal-Yield's size to half of GraphRXN's parameters

Chem. Sci. **2024**, *15*, 5143.



Unseen	Unseen		
Boronic	Aryl	Unseen	Unseen
Acids	Halides	Ligands	Additives

21.3 ±	13.4 ±	11.7 ±	16.2 ±
3.3	0.3	2.2*	0.4

	Suzuki Yield Error (MAE)		
Model	Unseen Boronic Acids	Unseen Aryl Halides	
Random Forest	19.5 ± 0.03	19.5 ± 0.03	
Adaboost	21.6 ± 0.1	21.5 ± 0.1	
Yield-BERT			
GraphRXN			
Crystal-Yield	18.4 ± 0.3	18.5 ± 0.2	

*Increased Crystal-Yield's size to half of GraphRXN's parameters

Chem. Sci. **2024**, *15*, 5143.

UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

Unseen Boronic Acids	Unseen Aryl Halides	Unseen Ligands	Unseen Additives
25.2 ±	28.1 ±	28.5 ±	30.4 ±
2.0	4.1	0.6	1.5
24.7 ±	25.5 ±	27.9 ±	26.7 ±
2.6	2.9	0.7	0.5
21.3 ±	13.4 ±	11.7 ±	16.2 ±
3.3	0.3	2.2*	0.4



	Suzuki Yield Error (MAE)	
Model	Unseen Boronic Acids	Unseen Aryl Halides
Random Forest	19.5 ± 0.03	19.5 ± 0.03
Adaboost	21.6 ± 0.1	21.5 ± 0.1
Yield-BERT	21.9 ± 0.06	22.0 ± 0.03
GraphRXN	40.0 ± 3.0	37.8 ± 2.7
Crystal-Yield	18.4 ± 0.3	18.5 ± 0.2

*Increased Crystal-Yield's size to half of GraphRXN's parameters

Chem. Sci. **2024**, *15*, 5143.

Mach. Learn.: Sci. Technol. 2021, 2, 015016.

J. Chemoinformatics **2023**, *15*, 72.

UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

Unseen Boronic Acids	Unseen Aryl Halides	Unseen Ligands	Unseen Additives
25.2 ±	28.1 ±	28.5 ±	30.4 ±
2.0	4.1	0.6	1.5
24.7 ±	25.5 ±	27.9 ±	26.7 ±
2.6	2.9	0.7	0.5
24.7 ±	24.3 ±	24.3 ±	24.1 ±
2.1	1.6	1.4	0.7
25.2 ±	17.9 ±	13.8 ±	17.5 ±
7.0	4.6	1.7	1.8
21.3 ±	13.4 ±	11.7 ±	16.2 ±
3.3	0.3	2.2*	0.4



LD50 Toxicity Predictions

Model	Pharmaceutic (MAE)
Random Forest	
Gaussian Process	
Adaboost	
Oloren Cher Engine	m
Crystal-To>	0.52 ± 0.007

Chem. Sci. **2024**, *15*, 5143.



cals

7

LD50 Toxicity Predictions

Model	Pharmaceutic (MAE)
Random Forest	0.62 ± 0.002
Gaussian Process	0.73 ± 0.002
Adaboost	0.71 ± 0.002
Oloren Chem Engine	
Crystal-Tox	0.52 ± 0.007

Chem. Sci. **2024**, *15*, 5143.



cals

LD50 Toxicity Predictions

	Model	Pharmac (MA	eutic \E)
F	Random Forest	0.62 ±	0.002
G F	aussian Process	0.73 ±	0.002
A	daboost	0.71 ±	0.002
Olo	ren Chem Engine	0.55 ±	0.00
Cr	ystal-Tox	0.52 ±	0.007

Chem. Sci. **2024**, *15*, 5143.

ChemRxiv Preprint **2022**, DOI: 10.26434/chemrxiv-2022-zz776.



cals

- 2
- 2
-)2
- 09
- 7

New Molecules for Testing



Chem. Sci. 2024, 15, 5143.



New Molecules for Testing



Chem. Sci. 2024, 15, 5143.


New Molecules for Testing





LD50 Toxicity Predictions

	Model	Pharmac (MA	eutic \E)
F	Random Forest	0.62 ±	0.002
G F	aussian Process	0.73 ±	0.002
A	daboost	0.71 ±	0.002
Olo	ren Chem Engine	0.55 ±	0.00
Cr	ystal-Tox	0.52 ±	0.007

Chem. Sci. **2024**, *15*, 5143.

ChemRxiv Preprint **2022**, DOI: 10.26434/chemrxiv-2022-zz776.



cals

- 2
-)2
- 09
- 7

LD50 Toxicity Predictions

Model	Pharmaceuticals (MAE)	Non-Pharmaceuticals (MAE)
Random Forest	0.62 ± 0.002	1.59 ± 0.02
Gaussian Process	0.73 ± 0.002	1.86 ± 0.002
Adaboost	0.71 ± 0.002	1.77 ± 0.002
Oloren Chem Engine	0.55 ± 0.009	1.48 ± 0.006
Crystal-Tox	0.52 ± 0.007	1.38 ± 0.02

Chem. Sci. **2024**, *15*, 5143.

ChemRxiv Preprint **2022**, DOI: 10.26434/chemrxiv-2022-zz776.



Chiral & Non-Chiral

Model	Macro F-Score	We F-
Random	0.19 ±	0
Forest	0.1	0
K-Nearest	0.20 ±	0
Neighbors	0.002	0
Crystal-	0.62 ±	0
Olfaction	0.004	0

Chem. Sci. **2024**, *15*, 5143.



eighted -Score

).32 ± 0.009

).33 ±

0.002

.92 ±).002

	Chiral & No	on-Chiral	Enantiomer	Differentiation
Model	Macro	Weighted	Macro	Weighted
	F-Score	F-Score	F-Score	F-Score
Random	0.19 ±	0.32 ±	0.069 ±	0.31 ±
Forest	0.1	0.009	0.002	0.003
K-Nearest	0.20 ±	0.33 ±	0.31 ±	0.20 ±
Neighbors	0.002	0.002	0.0002	0.001
Crystal-	0.62 ±	0.92 ±	0.58 ±	0.93 ±
Olfaction	0.004	0.002	0.003	0.002















Hidden Chemical Insights from Lightweight Machine Learning



Part II:







Can get accurate predictions





Can get accurate predictions

High computational resources





Can get accurate predictions

High computational resources

Careful optimization of learning architecture





Can get accurate predictions

High computational resources

Careful optimization of learning architecture

High data requirement





Can get accurate predictions

High computational resources

Careful optimization of learning architecture

High data requirement







Can get accurate predictions

High computational resources

Careful optimization of learning architecture

High data requirement





Run on your laptop



Can get accurate predictions

High computational resources

Careful optimization of learning architecture Ready to use systems

High data requirement





Run on your laptop



Can get accurate predictions

High computational resources

Careful optimization of learning architecture

High data requirement





Run on your laptop

are Ready to use systems

Lower data requirement



Can get accurate predictions

High computational resources

Careful optimization of learning architecture

High data requirement





Lightweight ML

Qualitative Predictions

Run on your laptop

Ready to use systems

Lower data requirement





Can get accurate predictions

High computational resources

Careful optimization of learning architectu

High data requirement

hido



	Image: Constrained state Image: Constrained state Image: Constrained state Image: Constrained state
	Qualitative Predictions
	Run on your laptop
ure	Ready to use systems
	Lower data requirement
den o insi	chemical ghts

Ullmann Condensations







Ullmann Condensations



Historical data





Ullmann Condensations



Historical data

What are the important factors for reaction yield in each specific reaction class?





Important Features of Ullmann Condensation





Important Features of Ullmann Condensation







Dalton Trans. 2012, 41, 13832.











Solvent can effect active catalytic species.

Dalton Trans. 2012, 41, 13832.

























Tetrahedron Lett. **2008**, *49*, 2018.







Tetrahedron Lett. **2008**, *49*, 2018.







We can draw out the best solvents for a given reaction.

Tetrahedron Lett. **2008**, *49*, 2018.



No systematic review of solvent effects.



Acknowledgements

Lee Group

Dr. Alpha Lee

Dr. Felix Faber

Rokas Elijošius

William McCorkindale

Pfizer

Dr. Joy Yang Dr. Roger M. Howard Dr. Simon Berritt Dr. Anton V. Sinitskiy Usa Reilly

Newton International Fellowship (Royal Society)





Have a Question? esk34@cam.ac.uk



Gaunt Group

Prof. Matt Gaunt

Dr Srimanta Manna

Markus Böcker

Wolfson College

Prof. Jane Clarke

Lyn Alcantara

Wolfson College Choir



Funding

Pfizer





Training and Test Set Comparisons





arXiv preprint **2021**, DOI: arXiv:2102.09548.

Acute Toxicity of Training and Testing Sets







Backup: Retrospective Test Set



Nat. Commun. 2024, 15, 426.



Backup: Retrospective Test Set



Nat. Commun. 2024, 15, 426.



Backup: Prospective Results



Nat. Commun. 2024, 15, 426.






Backup: Prospective Results



Nat. Commun. 2024, 15, 426.





2

The Data





Reaction Class

Nat. Commun. 2024, 15, 426.





Dataset Breakdown: Molecules



Backup: Baran Heuristics



J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 12122.







Model

F-Score

= Best Model



Model

Model

Backup: F-Score, Accuracy, and AUROC



Nat. Commun. 2024, 15, 426.

= Best Model





Model



Backup: F-Score, Accuracy, and AUROC



Model

Nat. Commun. 2024, 15, 426.

= Best Model



Model Performance (Accuracy) on **Prospective Test Set** NMR Transfer Learn (Fukui) **MPNN_{LSF}** Fukui





AUROC

Model

Model

Backup: PCA of the Dataset Chemical Space



Nat. Commun. 2024, 15, 426.



Backup: Fukui Indices



heterocycle

Fukui function-derived predictions

$$F_i(-) = q_i(N-1) - q_i(N) \quad \text{(electrophilic radicals)}$$

$$f_i(0) = \frac{q_i(N-1) - q_i(N+1)}{2} \quad \text{(nucleophilic radicals)}$$

$$F_i(-) = q_i(N-1) - q_i(N) \quad \text{(electrophilic radicals)}$$

$$F_i(0) = \frac{q_i(N-1) - q_i(N+1)}{2} \quad \text{(nucleophilic radicals)}$$

 $q_i(N)$ = charge at atom i in a molecule with N electrons.

Nat. Commun. 2024, 15, 426.



Backup: Schneider QM-Augmented MPNN

	F-Score / %	Ρ
aGNN2D	$ 38 (\pm 5)$	56
aGNN2DQM	$39 (\pm 2)$	54
aGNN3D	$59 (\pm 3)$	62
aGNN3DQM	60 (±4)	62

Nat. Chem. 2024, 16, 239.







Backup: Representative P450 Test Set Molecules



















Fragrance Dataset Breakdown



Classes

Chem. Sci. **2024**, *15*, 5143.



Molecule Distribution

Molecule Type

*enantiomers = % enantiomers in all chiral molecules

Enantiomeric Pairs Predictions: Similar Olfactive Notes



Chem. Sci. 2024, 15, 5143.









(*R*)-isomethone (**17**)

mint

(mint)



(*S*)-isomethone (**18**)

mint

(mint)

= correctly identified similarity / dissimilarity in olfactive notes

= incorrectly identified similarity / dissimilarity in olfactive notes



Enantiomeric Pairs Predictions: Dissimilar Olfactive Notes





(cheesy*)





(ethereal)



29

coconut, fruity, sweet

(---)



grassy, spicy, sweet, vanilla





(*R*)-camphene (**31**)

balsamic, medicinal

(---)

(S)-camphene (**32**)

camphoreous, pine

(camphoreous)



= correctly identified similarity / dissimilarity in olfactive notes







33 dairy, floral, sweet





(---)

SH *n*-Pr **`OH**

21

herbal, sulfurous

(sulfurous)



(*R*)-methone (**23**)



(---)

SH ΌΗ *n*-Pr

22 blackcurrant, fruity, sweet, topical, woody

(sweet, fruity)



(*S*)-methone (**24**)

camphoreous, fresh

(camphoreous)

= incorrectly identified similarity / dissimilarity in olfactive notes

Performance on CCDC Dataset

Model

Small MPNN Large MPNN

Mean Squared Error (MSE) of total loss (bond distance loss + bond angles loss) on crystal structure data for a variety of message passing neural networks (MPNNs). Test set consisted of unseen molecules.

Chem. Sci. 2024, 15, 5143.



Test Set MSE 3.17 2.93

Per-Molecule Error Rate (Toxicity)

Compound	True Toxicity (log(mol kg ⁻¹))	Crystal-Tox Predicted Toxicity (log(mol kg ⁻¹))	Oloren ChemEngine Predicted Toxicity (log(mol kg ⁻¹))
water	-0.70	1.53	1.98
sucrose	1.06	1.01	1.48
glucose	0.84	1.25	1.77
monosodium glutamate	1.00	1.66	2.10
THC	2.39	2.88	2.53
CBD	2.51	2.62	2.41
aconitine	6.90	3.84	3.38
epibatidine	7.43	2.88	2.93
MDMA	3.08	2.59	2.55
cocaine	3.50	2.09	2.67
LSD	4.29	2.65	2.89
heroin	4.23	2.80	3.19





Per-Molecule Set Error Rate (Yields)

Madal	Split MAE								
Niodel	Halide Set 0	Halide Set \overline{I}	Halide Set 2	Halide Set 3					
Random Forest	23.6	23.9	22.2	31.0					
Gaussian Process	27.3	25.2	21.7	30.9					
Adaboost	24.6	23.9	18.7	31.6					
Yield-BERT	27.3	25.2	21.7	30.9					
GraphRXN	9.5	41.6	30.9	18.7					
Crystal-Yield	26.7	14.8	16.3	27.5					
	Base 0	Base 1	Base 2						
Random Forest	32.0	32.4	19.9						
Gaussian Process	31.0	34.3	24.8						
Adaboost	27.2	29.5	19.9						
Yield-BERT	23.3	27.4	22.1						
GraphRXN	12.8	27.1	13.8						
Crystal-Yield	13.9	13.0	13.4						
	Ligand 0	Ligand 1	Ligand 2	Ligand 3					
Random Forest	27.4	29.0	27.6	29.8					
Course Days									
Gaussian Process	39.8	32.2	29.2	30.6					
Gaussian Process Adaboost	39.8 26.8	32.2 29.9	29.2 25.9	30.6 27.2					
Gaussian Process Adaboost Yield-BERT	39.8 26.8 20.4	32.2 29.9 24.0	29.2 25.9 25.8	30.6 27.2 27.0					
Gaussian Process Adaboost Yield-BERT GraphRXN	39.8 26.8 20.4 9.7	32.2 29.9 24.0 17.6	29.2 25.9 25.8 12.7	30.6 27.2 27.0 15.2					
Gaussian Process Adaboost Yield-BERT GraphRXN Crystal-Yield	39.8 26.8 20.4 9.7 24.5	32.2 29.9 24.0 17.6 23.4	29.2 25.9 25.8 12.7 10.4	30.6 27.2 27.0 15.2 14.5					
Gaussian Process Adaboost Yield-BERT GraphRXN Crystal-Yield Crystal-Yield ^a	39.8 26.8 20.4 9.7 24.5 17.1	32.2 29.9 24.0 17.6 23.4 12.2	29.2 25.9 25.8 12.7 10.4 6.5	30.6 27.2 27.0 15.2 14.5 10.8					
Gaussian Process Adaboost Yield-BERT GraphRXN Crystal-Yield Crystal-Yield ^a	39.8 26.8 20.4 9.7 24.5 17.1 <i>Additive Set 0</i>	32.2 29.9 24.0 17.6 23.4 12.2 Additive Set 1	29.2 25.9 25.8 12.7 10.4 6.5 Additive Set 2	30.6 27.2 27.0 15.2 14.5 10.8 Additive Set 3					
Gaussian Process Adaboost Yield-BERT GraphRXN Crystal-Yield Crystal-Yield ^a Random Forest	39.8 26.8 20.4 9.7 24.5 17.1 <i>Additive Set 0</i> 34.0	32.2 29.9 24.0 17.6 23.4 12.2 <i>Additive Set 1</i> 31.3	29.2 25.9 25.8 12.7 10.4 6.5 <i>Additive Set 2</i> 26.7	30.6 27.2 27.0 15.2 14.5 10.8 <i>Additive Set 3</i> 29.4					
Gaussian Process Adaboost Yield-BERT GraphRXN Crystal-Yield Crystal-Yield ^a Random Forest Gaussian Process	39.8 26.8 20.4 9.7 24.5 17.1 <i>Additive Set 0</i> 34.0 32.7	32.2 29.9 24.0 17.6 23.4 12.2 <i>Additive Set 1</i> 31.3 29.0	29.2 25.9 25.8 12.7 10.4 6.5 <i>Additive Set 2</i> 26.7 24.5	30.6 27.2 27.0 15.2 14.5 10.8 <i>Additive Set 3</i> 29.4 27.9					
Gaussian Process Adaboost Yield-BERT GraphRXN Crystal-Yield Crystal-Yield ^a Random Forest Gaussian Process Adaboost	39.8 26.8 20.4 9.7 24.5 17.1 <i>Additive Set 0</i> 34.0 32.7 29.0	32.2 29.9 24.0 17.6 23.4 12.2 <i>Additive Set 1</i> 31.3 29.0 27.3	29.2 25.9 25.8 12.7 10.4 6.5 <i>Additive Set 2</i> 26.7 24.5 26.7	30.6 27.2 27.0 15.2 14.5 10.8 <i>Additive Set 3</i> 29.4 27.9 27.5					
Gaussian Process Adaboost Yield-BERT GraphRXN Crystal-Yield Crystal-Yield ^a Random Forest Gaussian Process Adaboost Yield-BERT	39.8 26.8 20.4 9.7 24.5 17.1 <i>Additive Set 0</i> 34.0 32.7 29.0 25.2	32.2 29.9 24.0 17.6 23.4 12.2 <i>Additive Set 1</i> 31.3 29.0 27.3 22.9	29.2 25.9 25.8 12.7 10.4 6.5 <i>Additive Set 2</i> 26.7 24.5 26.7 22.8	30.6 27.2 27.0 15.2 14.5 10.8 <i>Additive Set 3</i> 29.4 27.9 27.5 25.3					
Gaussian Process Adaboost Yield-BERT GraphRXN Crystal-Yield Crystal-Yield ^a Random Forest Gaussian Process Adaboost Yield-BERT GraphRXN	39.8 26.8 20.4 9.7 24.5 17.1 <i>Additive Set 0</i> 34.0 32.7 29.0 25.2 16.7	32.2 29.9 24.0 17.6 23.4 12.2 <i>Additive Set 1</i> 31.3 29.0 27.3 22.9 15.2	29.2 25.9 25.8 12.7 10.4 6.5 <i>Additive Set 2</i> 26.7 24.5 26.7 22.8 22.8	30.6 27.2 27.0 15.2 14.5 10.8 <i>Additive Set 3</i> 29.4 27.9 27.5 25.3 15.4					

UNIVERSI
CAMBRI



Ranked Elements in Training Foundational Model

				-	0.	•		0
Os	Re	Au	Rh	K	W	Na	AI	Pt
V	Cr	Ti	Pb	Eu	lr	As	U	Ga
TI	Rb	Sc	Nb	Та	Но	Cs	Sr	Yb
				lea	ast co	mmo	n ele	ment
	Os V TI	OsReVCrTIRb	OsReAuVCrTiTIRbSc	OsReAuRhVCrTiPbTIRbScNb	OsReAuRhKVCrTiPbEuTIRbScNbTaIea	OsReAuRhKWVCrTiPbEuIrTIRbScNbTaHoleast co	OsReAuRhKWNaVCrTiPbEuIrAsTiRbScNbTaHoCsleast commo	OsReAuRhKWNaAlVCrTiPbEuIrAsUTIRbScNbTaHoCsSrIeast common ele



set												
Ρ	В	S	CI	Ρ	В	Si	Br	Cu	I	Fe	Zn	Со
Na	AI	Pt	Ag	Li	Sn	Pd	Se	Мо	Mn	Ru	Cd	Ni
As	U	Ga	Ge	Mg	Sb	Tb	Gd	Zr	Те	Hg	Dy	Nd
Cs	Sr	Yb	Се	Ва	Er	Y	Pr	Bi	Sm	In	La	Ca
mmon elements in dataset												
Np	Pu											

Selected Molecules in Yield Datasets







Selected Molecules in Yield Datasets





How Does the MPNN Work?



Nat. Commun. 2024, 15, 426.





Yield Prediction



Suzuki USPTO Yield Distribution

J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2022, 144, 4819. Science 2018, 360, 186.





Buchwald-Hartwig HTE Yield Distribution

Yield

The Big Idea







